

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,013	09/15/2003	Paul S. Diefenbaugh	42P17653	2750
8791 7590 05/16/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			SIM, YONG H	
SEVENTH FL	OOR ES, CA 90025-1030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DOS ANOBELL	15, 611 70025-1050		2629	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,013	DIEFENBAUGH, PAUL S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yong Sim	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	CATION: reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-26 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 8 and 10 - 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claim 1 8 and 10 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nenonen (US 6,148,103) in view of Helms (US 5,760,760).

Re claim 1, Nenonen teaches an apparatus comprising: a set of registers where each register has a corresponding computed brightness value to store data indicating a number of pixels of an image having respective computed brightness values [Col. 1,

lines 15 – 16; "A histogram is the distribution of the <u>brightness values</u> of a picture, and <u>the number</u> of the brightness values are grouped into classes/each register." See fig. 1. The histogram is stored in "42, memory" (Fig. 5). It is inherent that the brightness values are stored in a set of registers in order to be grouped into classes in memory/registers.] each register having an associated saturation threshold value (Col. 2, lines 1 – 5; "a maximum amplification limit/threshold that must not be surpassed by the histogram peaks.");

and an image brightness agent (Col. 7, lines 29 – 34; "<u>The system</u> according to the invention can be realized in many different ways, for example by <u>means</u> of a custom designed application specific <u>integrated circuit</u> or in a <u>program</u>med fashion.") communicatively coupled with the set of registers to determine whether a register is saturated and, <u>and for each register that is saturated</u> to redistribute computed brightness values to <u>a closest</u> non-saturated registers (Abstract, lines 10 – 15; "there are cut off all values surpassing said limit/threshold value, which are then redistributed in the histogram, to classes nearest/closest register to the class under observation/saturated register.).

But does not expressly disclose adjusting image brightness and corresponding backlight intensity based on an ambient light level.

However, Helms teaches an apparatus wherein a look-up table/image brightness is modified based on the input ambient light value/brightness value, and the backlight that is modified based on the modification of the lookup table (Helms: Col. 3, line 60 – Col 4, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,013

Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the idea of modifying a look-up table backlight based on the input ambient light as taught by Helms into the apparatus as taught by Nenonen to obtain an apparatus with a look-up table that contains certain computed brightness values which quickly and accurately modifies the brightness and backlight of an LCD based on the ambient lighting conditions of the environment in which the LCD is being operated. (Helms: Abstract).

Re claim 2, the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, teach the apparatus of claim 1, but fail to expressly teach the color look-up table that modifies based on the computed brightness values.

However, Helms further teaches a look-up table that modifies based on the input ambient light value/brightness value. (Helms: Col. 3, lines 60 – 65).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the look-up table as taught by Helms into the apparatus of claim 1 as taught by Nenonen to obtain an apparatus with a look-up table that contains certain computed brightness values which quickly and accurately modifies the brightness of an LCD based on the ambient lighting conditions of the environment in which the LCD is being operated. (Helms: Abstract).

Re claim 3, the combined teaching of Nenonen and Helms teach the apparatus of claim 2 wherein the registers store brightness histogram values (Nenonen: Col. 1, lines 15 – 16; "A histogram is the distribution of the <u>brightness values</u> of a picture, and <u>the number</u> of the brightness values are grouped into classes." The histogram is stored in "42, memory" (Fig. 5). It is inherent that the brightness values are stored in a set of registers in order to be grouped into classes in memory/registers.)

Re claim 4, the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms teach the apparatus of claim 2, but the teachings as discussed in claim 2 fail to further describe the apparatus comprising a backlight control agent communicatively coupled with the image brightness agent, the backlight control agent to modify backlight brightness based on modifications to the color look-up table.

However, Helms further teaches an apparatus wherein the backlight is modified based on the modification of the lookup table (Helms: Col. 3, line 60 – Col 4, line 5. As for the backlight control agent, the means for controlling or modifying is required to modify the backlight.).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the backlight brightness modification as taught by Helms into the apparatus of claim 2 as taught by Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, to obtain an apparatus with a look-up table that contains certain computed brightness values which quickly and accurately modifies

the brightness of an LCD based on the ambient lighting conditions of the environment in which the LCD is being operated. (Helms: Abstract).

Re claim 5, the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms teach the apparatus of claim 1, wherein one or more of the saturation threshold values (Nenonen: "Cut-out values," Col. 2, line 5) comprises a largest number (Nenonen: "peak values," Col. 2, line 3) to be stored by the associated register.

Re claim 6, the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms teach the apparatus of claim 1, but fails to explicitly teach the saturation threshold values comprising number less than a largest number to be stored by the associated register.

However, Nenonen teaches moving the values that are above the threshold value, which suggests that the threshold value should be equal to or less than the largest number to be stored.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the saturation threshold values comprising number less than an largest number to be stored by the associated register to optimally distribute the values among registers.

Re claim 7, the combined teachings of Nenonen Helms teach the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the image brightness agent comprises a processor (Nenonen: Col. 7, lines 29 – 33; "The system according to the invention can be realized in many different

ways, for example by means of a digital signal processor.") executing sequences of instructions (Fig. 3).

Re claim 8, the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms teach the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the image brightness agent comprises control circuitry (Nenonen: Col. 7, lines 29 – 33; "by means of a custom designed application specific integrated <u>circuit</u>.") communicatively coupled with the set of registers.

Re claim 10, the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms teaches the apparatus of claim 1, but fails to further described the apparatus comprising an ambient light sensor coupled with the image brightness agent to generate an indication of ambient light level.

However, Helms further teaches an apparatus wherein a photodetector is located proximate the front of the LCD to generate brightness control circuitry signal indicative of ambient lighting conditions (Abstract).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the photodetector as taught by Helms into the apparatus of claim 9 as taught by Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, to obtain an apparatus with computed brightness values which automatically adjusts the brightness of an LCD based on the ambient lighting conditions of the environment, where the LCD is being operated, by modifying the backlight

brightness according to the look-up table to increase battery run-time. (Helms: Abstract, Col. 2, lines 39 - 42).

Re claim 11, the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms teach the apparatus of claim 1, but fail to disclose the image brightness agent that modifies a color look-up table based on the indication of ambient light level.

However, Helms further teaches an apparatus wherein a color look-up table is modified based on the indication of ambient light level (Helms: Col. 3, lines 51 – 65).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the photodetector as taught by Helms into the apparatus of claim 9 as taught by Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, to obtain an apparatus with a photodetector that computes brightness values to automatically adjust the brightness of an LCD based on the ambient lighting conditions of the environment, where the LCD is being operated, to modify the backlight brightness according to the look-up table to increase battery runtime. (Helms: Abstract, Col. 2, lines 39 - 42).

The limitations of claim 12 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 4.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 4.

The limitations of claim 13 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 1.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 1. Claim 13 is a corresponding method claim to the apparatus claim 1.

The limitations of claim 14 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 2.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 2.

The limitations of claim 15 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 4.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 4.

The limitations of claim 16 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 3.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 3.

The limitations of claim 17 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 5.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 5.

The limitations of claim 18 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 6.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 6.

The limitations of claim 19 are substantially similar to the limitations of claims 10 - 12. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claims 10 - 12.

Re claim 20, Nenonen teaches an article comprising a machine-readable medium having stored thereon instruction that, when executed by one or more processors, cause the one or more processors (Col. 7, lines 29 – 33; "The system according to the invention can be realized in many different ways, for example by means of a custom designed application specific integrated circuit or a control program of a digital signal process.) to: store, in a plurality of registers, an indication of a number of pixels in an image having a computed brightness value corresponding to the respective registers; redistribute a subset of computed brightness values corresponding to one or more registers if the computed brightness value for the register exceeds a threshold value (See rejection to claim 1).

But does not expressly disclose adjusting image brightness and corresponding backlight intensity based on an ambient light level.

However, Helms teaches an apparatus wherein a look-up table/image brightness is modified based on the input ambient light value/brightness value, and the backlight that is modified based on the modification of the lookup table (Helms: Col. 3, line 60 – Col 4, line 5).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Nenonen and Helms, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the idea of modifying a look-up table backlight based on the input ambient light as taught by Helms into the apparatus as taught by Nenonen to obtain an apparatus with a look-up table that contains certain computed brightness values which quickly and accurately

Application/Control Number: 10/664,013

Art Unit: 2629

modifies the brightness and backlight of an LCD based on the ambient lighting conditions of the environment in which the LCD is being operated. (Helms: Abstract).

The limitations of claim 21 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 2. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 2.

The limitations of claim 22 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 4.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 4.

The limitations of claim 23 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 3.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 3.

The limitations of claim 24 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 5.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 5.

The limitations of claim 25 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 5.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 5.

The limitations of claim 26 are substantially similar to the limitations of claim 10 - 12. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected similar to the rejection of claim 10 - 12.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Sim whose telephone number is (571) 270-1189. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (Alternate Fridays off) 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,013 Page 13

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YHS 4/3/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER